Hungral testing April 2026

Grahame Gardner, Dowser and Geomancer, Scotland

Preamble

The Hungral set consists of three nesting parabolic ceramic 'half-eggs', ranging in diameter from 30mm to 100mm. The largest Hungral has various glyphs embossed on the outside but the smaller two are undecorated.

In devising a test dowsing protocol for the Hungrals, I decided to simply test each of the eggs on various earth energy spots to see how these affected the Hungrals. Five specific energy spots were chosen – one neutral spot, and positive and negative Hartmann and Curry grid crossings. I specifically did not test on any underground water crossings or domes as I felt that these would be too variable in intensity and liable to produce inconsistent results. Each Hungral was tested individually on each location and the radius of field emitted was dowsed and measured, including any harmonic bands. The largest two Hungrals produced one harmonic, but the smallest one produced two harmonics in addition to the outermost ring. I make no interpretation of this effect, other than to say it appears to be in line with previous experiments demonstrating the scalar nature of earth energies conducted by the Dowsing Research Group and the British Society of Dowsers' Earth Energies Group.[1]

The test protocol was as follows:

1. Establish a neutral point to place Hungrals for initial testing[2].
2. Dowse each of the three Hungrals individually by measuring radius of effect, checking for any harmonic bands/rings.
3. Measure differences in dowsed energy balance on rings and at maximum radius using a +/- percentage chart to assess beneficial/detrimental energy levels.
4. Establish +ve and -ve crossings of Hartmann and Curry grids.
5. Repeat steps 2-4 with Hungrals on grid crossing points.

Test 1 – Neutral area

The large- and medium-sized Hungrals each produced one harmonic ring at 3.5m and 4.5m respectively, with their maximum radii at 7.3m and 8.7m. The smallest Hungral had the largest area of effect and exhibited two harmonic rings (at 5.3m and 10.45m) in addition to the maximum radius of 17.9m.

Field strength was measured at each harmonic and the maximum area of effect. In each case, it displayed increasing field strength inversely relative to the Hungral size, with the smallest registering the largest effect. This was a surprising result, contrary to the anticipated outcome, where one would expect the largest Hungral to produce the largest area of effect. The minimal field strength at the first harmonic from the large Hungral was +40%, the medium +50%. The smallest Hungral produced two harmonics, the first at +55% and the second at +75%. The maximum field strength from the large Hungral was +70%, the medium was +80%, and the smallest +95%. (See Fig. 1)




Figure 1 - Hungrals placed on neutral point

Test 2 – Hartmann grid +ve crossing

For the second test, a double-positive Hartmann grid crossing was selected[3]. The radius of each harmonic and the outermost ring was measured; once again, the smallest Hungral produced two harmonics whilst the large and medium only produced one. Field strength was again dowsed using a +/- percentage chart.

The large- and medium-sized Hungrals produced harmonic bands at 3.15m and 5.3m respectively, with maximum radii at 6.45m and 9.05m. The smallest Hungral produced harmonics at 4.5m and 10.67m, with the largest ring at 18m radius.

Field strength of the large and medium Hungrals at the first harmonic was +75% and +80% respectively, and at the maximum extent, both dowsed at +95%. The small Hungral generated +80%, +95% and +100% levels from each ring.

This was the strongest field strengths measure of all the tests. Results are summarised in Fig. 2.




Figure 2 - Hungrals on +ve Hartmann crossing

Test 3 – Hartmann grid -ve crossing

This test was conducted with the Hungrals placed on a double-negative Hartmann grid crossing. As before, the radius of each harmonic and outermost ring was measured and the field strength dowsed on a +/- percentage chart. Once again, the smallest Hungral produced three responses and the greatest overall energy, although the responses of all three Hungrals showed a considerable reduction in field strength compared to the previous two tests.

The large- and medium-sized Hungrals produced harmonic bands at 3.2m and 3.7m respectively, with maximum radii at 6.58m and 7.32m. Interestingly, the larger Hungral showed slight increase in radial size while the medium Hungral showed a slight decrease compared to the double-positive Hartmann grid crossing. The smallest Hungral produced harmonics at 4.9m and 8.33m, with the largest ring at 13.72m radius. Curiously, the radius of only the first harmonic was slightly greater than before.

Field strength of the large and medium Hungrals at the first harmonic was +35% and +30% respectively, and at the maximum extent, both dowsed at +45%. The small Hungral generated +35%, +40% and +65% levels from each ring.

Results are summarised in Fig. 3.




Figure 3 - Hungrals on -ve Hartmann crossing

Test 4 – Curry grid +ve crossing

For the fourth test, a double-positive Curry grid crossing[4] was selected. The radii of each field harmonic and overall radius was noticeably larger than previous tests, with the exception of the smallest Hungral, where the overall radius was slightly smaller than that of test 2. Field strength was again dowsed using a +/- percentage chart. Here, the larger Hungral produced stronger energy than the medium one, although the area of influence was similar.

The large- and medium-sized Hungrals produced harmonic bands at 4.88m and 5.47m respectively, with maximum radii at 9.86m and 10.17m. The smallest Hungral produced harmonics at 5.95m and 11.4m, with the largest ring at 16.45m radius.

Field strength of the large Hungral at the first harmonic was +60% and the medium +50%. At the maximum extent, the large dowsed at +75% and the medium at +60%. The small Hungral generated +55%, +70% and +85% levels from each ring.

These results are summarised in Fig. 4.




Figure 4 - Hungrals on +ve Curry crossing

Test 5 – Curry grid -ve crossing

For the final test, the Hungrals were placed on a double-negative Curry grid crossing. Radii of harmonics, overall size, and field strength were all measured in exactly the same manner as previously.

Although the radii measurements were generally similar to before, the field strengths were dramatically lower than previous tests, with readings from the larger Hungral actually slipping into negative numbers for the first time.

The large- and medium-sized Hungrals produced harmonic bands at 4.96m and 5.5m respectively, with maximum radii at 8.8m and 9.97m. The smallest Hungral produced harmonics at 6.4m and 11.32m, with the largest ring at 18m radius (this was the same as the +ve Hartmann result).

As to field strength, the large Hungral produced negative results at both the first harmonic (-15%) and total radius (-30%). The medium Hungral had a result of +10% at the first harmonic, but 0% at total radius. The small Hungral fared somewhat better, with the two harmonics at +15% and +25%, and the full radius at +30%.

The results are summarised in Fig. 5




Figure 5 - Hungrals on -ve Curry crossing

Empirical testing April 2026

A couple of informal 'real-world' trials of the Hungrals were conducted at a meeting of The Geomancy Group. Note that Hungral placements were not monitored during these tests so any telluric effects were ignored. The first test was carried out on the ground floor of a house, the other tests on the first (top) floor.

18 April 2026 – Spingle Barn, Monyash – Dowser/Healer Sean Ferris dowsed radius of effect of each Hungral. Seemed to correspond to documentation, with the smallest Hungral exhibiting the largest area of effect.

Grahame Gardner tested large Hungral overnight, placing it by bedroom wall adjacent to WiFi router and DECT base station. He claimed a 'smoother' sleep

19 April – Grahame again tested medium Hungral placed in hallway outside bedroom, on far side of WiFi router/ DECT base station, approximately 9m from his bed. Did not experience noticeable improvement in sleep.

(Experiments ongoing)

Conclusions

The field tests were deliberately conducted without expectation of any particular result as far as possible; consequently, the discovery that the smaller Hungral produced the largest effect came as some surprise.

Placement of the Hungrals on the various telluric points did not seem to produce wide variations on the radius of effect of each Hungral, although the field strength reactions varied more widely. The best combination of radius and field strength seemed to be the double-positive Hartmann node point.

It is important to note that the Hungrals were *not* tested as to their ability to affect geopathic stress, although this is implied by the +/- percentage results of the field strength readings. Further testing in geopathic zones will be required.

Grahame Gardner
westerngeomancy.org
April 2026

---

[1] See (e.g). Taylor, Roger. '*Studies on Life Energy by Means of a Quantitative Dowsing Method*', https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B24tyUgxtI0yNmhBd29DUDVueVU/view and Lyons, James, *'The Universal Geometry of Earth Energies'*, EEG Newsletter Sep 1996, https://britishdowsing.net/the-universal-geometry-of-earth-energies/

[2] By 'neutral' I mean free of any apparent energy manifestations – e.g. water or energy lines, grids etc.

[3] https://britishdowsing.net/glossary-of-dowsing-terms-g-m/#hartmann-grid

[4] https://britishdowsing.net/glossary-of-dowsing-terms-a-c/#curry-grid